UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/502,065	01/18/2005	W Wayne Lautt	14430.4USWO	2089
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 03/24/200 & GOULD PC	8	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903	}		GUDIBANDE, SATYANARAYAN R	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/502,065	LAUTT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE	1654	

	GUDIBANDE		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07((b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	L below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		-	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowand	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). 13. ☐ Other: 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Anich Cunta/		
	/Anish Gupta/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1654	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argue that the reference of Vitekdoes not teach a combination of both hepatic glutathone compound and a hepatic nitric oxide increasing compound and the cited reference of Vitek teaches the elected species SIN-1 and N-acetylcystein in a Markush group.

Applicant's arguments have been considered and are not persuasive, because, the instant claims are drawn to a pharmaceutical composition "comprising" a therapeutically effective amount of hepatic glutathione increasing compound for reducing insulin resistance and a therapeutically effective amount of hepatic nitric oxide increasing compound for reducing insulin resistance. The cited prior art reference of Vitek recites "a method of treating a patient afflicted with Alzheimer's disease "comprising" an "amount sufficient to" decrease nitric oxide levels. The claim as recited does not prevent one of skilled in the art using a combination of the compounds of exogenous sources of nitric oxide compounds. Also, the claim as recited in the cited reference imply that the compounds are selcted from two Markush groups: Nitroglycerine, L-arginine, nitrate esters, isoamylnitrile, S-nitro-N-cysteine (SIN-1); "and" cysteine, dithiothreitol, N-acetylcysteine, mecaptosuccinic acid, thiosalicylic acid, and methylthiosalicylic acid.

Rejection under 35 USC 103: Applicants further argue that there is no motivation to combine the refereces of Vitek and Luatt et al. Applicants arguments have been considered and are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Vitek teaches the composition comprising the lected species SIN-1 and N-acetylcysteine and the secondary references of Mattia and Luatt teaches that the elected species N-acetyl cysteine increases glutathione and GSH?GSSG ration in non-insulin and Luatt teaches SIN-1 stimulates nitric oxide in liver.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satyanarayana R. Gudibande whose telephone number is 571-272-8146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4.30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

2